

	0.400 6.7000		OAKLAND	OFFICE OFFICE
Case Number: 17-cv	y-04006-JST			
Case Name: Rear	den LLC v. The Walt	Disney Company	7	
•	NOTE FROM	THE JURY		
Note number:				
Date:	12/20/2023			
Time:	9:15			
1. The Jury has i	eached a unanimous v	verdict [	•	
Or		•		
2. The Jury has t	he following question	<b>:</b>		
0 0 0				
hidell: today u	util 3° pm			
Thursday	util 3° pm 830 - 100 pm			
Fridery	830 - 300 pm		. •	
operson - U	isa miller, &	wra #8	Lu M	
	•	Drogid	ling Jurar	

Presiding Juror

FILED
DEC 2 0 2023

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTH DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND OFFICE

Case Number:	17-cv-04006-JST
Case Name:	Rearden LLC v. The Walt Disney Company
	NOTE FROM THE JURY
Note num	ber:
D	ate: 12 20 2023
Ti	me: 10:15 au
1. The Jur	ry has reached a unanimous verdict
Or	
	ry has the following question:
Plea	se provide us 7 copies (pruited) Aregis La Salle contract w/
of E	tregi la Salle contract w/
	Reaiden.

Presiding Juror

FILED

DEC 20 2023

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTH DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case Number: 17	-cv-04006-JST	OAKLAND OFFICE
Case Name: Re	arden LLC v. The Walt Disney Company	
		6.3
	NOTE FROM THE JURY	
Note number:	<u></u>	
Date:	. 12/20/2022	
Time:	2:57	
Or  2. The Jury ha	as reached a unanimous verdict   as the following question:	
We a Will	start again at 834 +	enerow.
	Presidin	g Juror

FILED

DEC 21 2023

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Case Number: 17-cv-04006-JST	OAKLAND OFFICE
Case Name: Rearden LLC v. The Walt Disney Company	
NOTE FROM THE JURY	
Note number:	
Date: 12/21/2023	
Time: 8-43	
1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict	
Or	•
2. The Jury has the following question:	
Is it possible for the judge to p	ron'de
more definition of "direct	ty" ur
the question "Disney directly	bene fixed
the question "Disney directly binavially from the witninging	achin'ty
of pp3."	
L.	Nee
Presidi	ng Juror

FLED

DEC 21 2023

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Case Number: 17-cv-04006-JST	NORTH DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND OFFICE
Case Name: Rearden LLC v. The Walt Disney Company	
NOTE FROM THE JURY	
Note number:	
Date: 12/21/23	
Time: 9:22 an	
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict   Or	
2. The Jury has the following question:	
Cancel our prior reques We do not need or wan	t.
. We do not need or wan	ta
Mespure	
Presidir	ng Juror

#### FILED

DEC 21 2023

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTH DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND OFFICE

Case Number: 17-cv-04000-JS1
Case Name: Rearden LLC v. The Walt Disney Company
NOTE FROM THE JURY
Note number:
Date: 12/21/2033
Time: (2:04
1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict
Or
Or
2. The Jury has the following question:
Will the amount ensured
uito question le be granted to
in the desire of the state of t
be paid to the planning, we
will the amount entered with question le be granted to be paid to the plaintiff, in adaition to what is awarded
in question 3? La guestion 6
addition to what is awarded in question 6 or, does our answer to question 6
Presiding Juror
not result in Presiding Juror  Paulment to plaintiff?
Daymont to puntity

The Court's Answer To Jury Question 6:

If you find that the Defendant Disney is liable for vicarious copyright infringement, then the Plaintiff Rearden is entitled to receive both an award of its actual damages and the portion of Disney's profits from *Beauty and the Beast* attributable to DD3's copyright infringement.

You may not include in an award of profits any amount that you took into account in determining actual damages.

J.S.T.

when endence is submitted,
there is inconsistency to showing
it to the jury on the screens.

Is that intentional?
The de vandomness of showing the
evidence can be confusing.

Juny Note #1 Received 12/7/23

thanks.